## Filed 10/16/07 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

	2007 ND 155	
State of North Dakota,		Plaintiff and Appellee
v.		
Mark Knight,		Defendant and Appellant
	No. 20070047	_
Appeal from the Disthe Honorable John T. Pau		y, Southeast Judicial District,
AFFIRMED.		
Per Curiam.		

Bradley A. Cruff (on brief), State's Attorney, Courthouse, 230 Fourth Street NW, Room 301, Valley City, N.D. 58072, for plaintiff and appellee.

Steven D. Mottinger (on brief), Johnson, Ramstad & Mottinger, PLLP, 15 Ninth Street South, Fargo, N.D. 58103-1830, for defendant and appellant.

## **State v. Knight No. 20070047**

## Per Curiam.

[¶1] Mark Knight appeals from a criminal judgment following a bench trial in which he was found guilty of gross sexual imposition, a Class A felony. Knight argues there was insufficient evidence to support a guilty verdict. He also argues the district court erred in failing to rule on a N.D.R.Crim.P. 29 motion for judgment of acquittal made at the close of the State's case-in-chief. We summarily affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(3) and (7), holding there was sufficient evidence to support the conviction, and concluding any error in failing to rule on the Rule 29 motion was harmless in this case, because the State presented sufficient evidence to support a conviction in its case-in-chief. See State v. Olson, 244 N.W.2d 718, 721 (N.D. 1976) (if the evidence is sufficient to meet the standard under N.D.R.Crim.P. 29 when the State rests, "then any error in failing to rule promptly is harmless").

[¶2] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. Dale V. Sandstrom Daniel J. Crothers Mary Muehlen Maring Carol Ronning Kapsner